



**Wednesday January 4, 2023**

11:00 am – 1:00 pm

Webex

**Board Members Present:** Cesar Garcia, Willard Brown, Jamie Madden, Kaleb Germinaro, Mark R. Jones, Diana Paredes, Evelyn Allen, Sophia Benalfew, and Abdi Yusuf

**Absent:** Maria-Jose “Cote” Soerens, Lindsay Goes Behind, Quanlin Hu

**Public:** Yordanos Tefferi

**Welcome & Public Comment**

**Approve Board Minutes:**

Bana Abera, EDI AB Facilitator

*Minutes revised as necessary and approved by Board Members*

- Meeting minutes affirmed by Cesar Garcia and move to approve November minutes, Jamie Madden seconds.

Call for additional edits/comments

- All in favor as is – all
- No - Nay or abstain 0
- Abstain 0

**2023 EDI Fund Update**

Application updates have been received. Received about 65 app. Ask amount is about \$80M and this seems in alignment with what we expect. Criteria AB has laid out seems to work so far. May ask for an ad hoc meeting with the board members that don't have project conflicts and staff will present the info. Once the recommendations are done, we'll move forward with the pipeline process to share with MO and meet the January deadline.

People have been supportive of the process and people appreciate the update process rather than a full on application process. A little frustrated with the timeline, but positive reactions. Seems like we're on track and should meet the end of January deadline.

Board member: Michael, will you issue an outcomes report in the coming months?

Michael B: we want to be transparent with the board and do it in a way that doesn't conflict with the conflict of interest or derail the projects. But we hope to provide a high-level report and also when we get the specifics from MO. This will likely be an email to board and a discussion in the Executive Committee. If necessary, we can make space for discussion for the full board, but at the minimum will put together a summary report to the board. Please let us know what items you want us to include in the summary report so we can make sure we are able and if we're not to provide why. We want to get close to all the information you need.



Board member: Parsing out who to fund and who not in regard to the capacity building, I'm wondering if you'll be able to provide a distinguish the projects that need the funds to move projects forward vs those that are making incremental progress.

Michael B: yes, we should be able to get that information.

Board member: what will the review process look like for this year?

Michael B: what we're doing is, get the status updates on January 2<sup>nd</sup>, then staff applying the criteria you all developed in the fall and updating the initial screening data sheet we developed in the fall and comparing those changes, then staff providing the recommendations based on the initial screening and the status update using to move forward.

## **EDI 2.0 Strategy & Subcommittees Report Back**

### **Executive Committee:**

Met to discuss the two critical issues: the update on the EDI Division Manager and Funding Update. The EDI Division Manager position update included that the job description needs to be revised to be more comprehensive and to ensure it attracts the right person and HR and EDI team are working on it and will be position in the new year.

Second update is the EDI Fund Update and the timeline. Discussed the timeline for the status update request deadline.

Also discussed the need to convene the full board and the retreat.

Lastly, the issue of selecting a board chair. We didn't move forward because we didn't have enough people present and delaying in until the January meeting and how the process should work. Patrice issued a summary of the qualities needed for these positions and the time commitment (2yr commitment).

Board member: will we be able to review the changes for the job description?

Patrice: for review of the job description, will bring it to the February board meeting for board review of the draft and once it's posted. As well as the outreach and engagement strategy. Internally the approach will change from the City's side.

### **Policy Committee:**

No December meeting

### **Storytelling/Data/Evaluation Committee:**

Fairly robust discussion in December. Talked about more specifically the kind of data we would collect, and the various locations and various ways participants can use. We don't want to overburden community members with the data collections. But now we are focused on the tools,



minimize duplications and overlap, and began to flesh out what data staff would need to collect, monitor, and the specific set of data and questions that committee can work and how staff can do similar work to collect the data and make it accessible to the committee. January will be about putting more meat on the bone and deciding on how we're going to test drive this process.

Board member: on the storytelling side, was there any discussion on that? Asking this because, most BIPOC communities lean on the storytelling side and sharing and telling. And we should lean towards the storytelling and sharing side and less on the white dominate ways and less on how City government can lean heavily on numbers and graphs without the stories. We should be more cognitive of how we tell the stories—we should focus more on the story telling, testimonies, graphs, and more like how W.E.B Du Bois approach.

Board member: yes, we're excited about how to incorporate that particular with our youth engagement approach. Also, how we will use the landing page and storytelling page and our community work. Thank you for highlighting this and this is our guiding force. We want community to share more highlights, tell the success stories, and tell their own stories. We will be more deliberate about what we share and how we share it. Dr. Jones has proposed ways to connect communities that are working on similar projects and how to make those links with those organizations.

#### **Youth & Community Engagement Committee:**

Further discussed the website and what that will look like. As well as how community can engage board members and provide feedback to board about any issues. Scheduled a meeting with OPCD Comms to support in building the platform for community to be more engaged with AB.

#### **Ad hoc Comprehensive Plan:**

Talked with Nick from OPCD re: the growth plan and strategies. Provided some feedback and input on the questions and outreach strategy. They also shared what they've done in the past.

Rico: Had over 120 people at our last community meeting. The one at Langston had a lot of turnouts as well. There is another one in January at Langston.

Staff: Additionally, we're having City staff join these meeting to provide additional information. Ex: we had someone from SDOT at the last meeting.

Board member: the Delridge meeting had great turnout and had good representation from the City. It was an engaged dialogue and have everyone give their input and feedback—housing situation in the neighborhood, jobs, etc. Also, where we can leverage more voices within the Delridge neighborhood.

Rico: next meeting information is here: <https://www.seattle.gov/opcd/one-seattle-plan/get-involved>



Next One Seattle Plan Community Engagement Meeting: Tuesday, January 10:  
Meadowbrook Community Center, 6:00-8:00 p.m.  
10517 35th Ave NE, Seattle, WA 98125  
Metro Bus: 65

### **Advisory Board Chair Selection**

Staff: The Executive Committee gave the update. We're hoping to select board positions or at least the chair position in the January Executive Committee meeting happening on the 26<sup>th</sup>.

### **EDI Landing Page Options**

No time to discuss.

### **Announcements & Adjourn**